

APPLICATION NO.

09/765,910

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

	www.uspto.gov		
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	l

7590

11/17/2004

FILING DATE

01/19/2001

WOODCOCK, WASHBURN, KURTZ, MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS

Paul A. Kline

ONE LIBERTY PLACE **46TH FLOOR** PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

MICHAEL D. STEIN

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, PHUNG

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

8256

2632

2171-010

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			íK			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/765,910	KLINE, PAUL A.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phung T Nguyen	2632				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of third ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 18 June 2004.				
'=		☐ This action is non-final.				
3)□	1 <u></u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 11-15,18-21,25-27,30 and 32-	53 is/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) 11-15,18-21,25-27,30 and 37-	53 is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-36</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:		} 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority do					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t	•	received in this National Stage			
* 9	application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received			
`	Joo and attached detailed Office action it	or a not or the certified copies flot	TOOLIYGU.			
	<i>w</i> >					
Attachmer	• •	43 T Indon days	Summany (DTO 412)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) M Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komoda et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,599,598).

Regarding claim 32: Komoda et al. disclose a data transmission system utilizing power line comprising a low pass filter 514 (figure 19, col. 16, lines 33-35) coupled to the branch line, and coupling a first port of a power line communications repeater to the branch line on a first side of the filter and a second port of the power line communication repeater to the branch line on a second side of the filter (fig. 19, col. 16, lines 35-54). Komoda et al. do not specifically show coupling a low pass filter to the branch line on the subscriber side as claimed. Since the placement of the low pass filter presents no new or unexpected results, so long as the low pass filter permit the flow of power signals through the branch line. Therefore, it would be obvious to the skilled artisan to place the low pass filter to the branch line on the subscriber side/distribution transformer side of the power meter in order to allow passage of a power supply alternating current.

Komoda et al. do not teach the claimed wherein the low pass filter attenuates high frequency noise traversing the first branch line. Komoda et al. teach the use of the low pass filter 514 as shown in figure 19. It is seen that low pass filter is a device that blocks the unwanted signals, e.g., noise. Therefore, it would be obvious to the skilled artisan to recognize that the low

Application/Control Number: 09/765,910

Art Unit: 2632

pass filter 514 of Komoda et al. which attenuates high frequency noise traversing the first branch line also.

Regarding claim 33: Komaoda et al. disclose the power line communication repeater is connected across the low pass filter (col. 16, lines 12-54) but do not show the power line communication is connected across both the low pass filter and the power meter as claimed. However, whether a skilled artisan connects the power line communication repeater across the low pass filter or both the low pass filter and the power meter would have been an obvious design choice because they are functional equivalent for the quality and strength of a signal decays over distance.

Regarding claim 34: Refer to claim 32 above.

Regarding claim 35: Refer to claim 33 above.

Regarding claim 36: All the claim subject matter is already discussed in respect to claims 32 and 33 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 11-15, 18-21, 25-27, 30, and 37-53 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm Mon thru. Friday, with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax numbers for the organization

Application/Control Number: 09/765,910

Art Unit: 2632

Page 4

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-308-9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Phung Nguyen

Date: November 3, 2004